

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,890	07/31/2006	Feng Lin		2354
7590 09/30/2010 Lin Feng		0	EXAMINER	
Blk 110, #12-1		CHACKO, SUNIL		
Woodlands Str Singapore, 730			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SINGAPORE			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/587,890		LIN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SUNIL CHACKO	2625		

	SUNIL CHACKO	2023	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data where been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period red under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above; if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i L below),	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 		condition for allowan	ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Benny Q Tieu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/SUNIL CHACKO/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625		
	Examiner, Art Offit 2020		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's Amended Appeal Brief, filed September 16, 2010 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 6-8 are pending.

Examiner mistakenly cited a portion of Parry (#7,177,043 B2) when Examiner intended to use Qiao (#7,177,043 B2) reference. The portion intended to use was Qiao column 7 lines 13-22 and not Parry paragraph 8. (Qiao teaches in this passage how the client uses a URL to obtain the desired data. This portion was also the intended portion recited in the rejection Claim 7 and not Parry paragraph 18.

Applicant argues on Page 2 of Arguments that applicants server never transfers, receives, sends or stores data of the documents. Applicant in Claim 1 recites a first retrieve means for sending inputted number via internet to a first server, with translates said number into the information of receiving a document from a second server via internet. Though Applicant specification may claim that the server never transfers, receives, sends or stores data of the document, this is limitation is not in the recited in the claim. Applicant also argues that Claio has no function to translate PIN number to URL of print jobs. However, Lodwick teaches print jobs maybe be stored on spooling server according to the PIN, see column 4 lines 14-20. It would have been obvious to one skilled in art to include the pin feature with Qiao second server so that the user could access documents from remote locations; see Qiao column 1 lines 62-66.

Applicant argues on 3 that combining Lodwick in view of Qiao is impossible in technology the applicant also argues that examiner wrote "Qiao proxy server does not store the documents" and hence the Qiao URL will point to no document when printers access the URL. Examiner respectfully disagrees, while the proxy server may not store the documents can still allow printing of the document because the proxy server can obtain the desired documents from the print server so that it can be printed.

Applicant argues that Lodwick has no need for first server, examiner respectfully disagrees. The addition of a proxy server main benefit is to allow the user to access a server from a remote location, see column 1 lines 62-68 and column 2 lines 1-5. The addition of this feature does not lessen the security as the applicant argues because the access is only provided by the request of the owner or administrator of the server.